Public Works (1914) Department.

G.O. No. 120-121 9

DATED 6·3· 1914.

The Notes
in this G.O. have been printed.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Modification of grants under the head "35".

Sanctioning certain — & ordering that a copy of the Proceedings be forwarded to the Govt. of India for information.

P. W. 9.
2,000—2-10-12.

~~Indexed.~~ AR 9/3

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Recd.	1914.	*Enclosures*	
Regd.		*Spare copies*	

G.O. Nos. 120, 121 I., 6th March 1914.

Modifications of grants under the head "35."

Ordering that a copy of the Proceedings sanctioning certain — be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Superintending Engineer,	I	Circle, No. 47 I./G, dated 3rd February 1914.	
From ,,	III	,, No. 1317 dated 6th/7th ,,	
To ,,	V	,, No. 732/14-1, dated 12th ,,	
From ,,	V	,, No. 65 E.I., dated 16th ,,	
From ,,	V	,, No. 70 E.I., dated 18th ,,	
To the Superintending Engineers, I and II Circles, dated 19th February 1914 (Telegram).			
From the Superintending Engineer,	II	Circle, dated 20th ,,	(Telegram).
From ,,	II	,, dated 20th ,,	(*nil* return of modifications under "35.")
To Superintending Engineer,	I	,, dated 26th ,,	(Telegram.)
From ,,		dated 28th February 1914	(Telegram).

Order—No. 120 I., dated 6th March 1914.

The modifications of grants under the head "35. Construction of Protective Irrigation Works" as shown in the appended statement are sanctioned.

2. The following telegram will be sent to the Superintending Engineer, III Circle :—

"Your No. 1317, dated 6th ultimo. Additional grant rupees five thousand sanctioned for Venkatapuram project under '35'. Order follows."

3. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

[Here enter No. 121 I., dated 6th March 1914.]

(True Extract.)

W. M. Ellis, Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.
(Irrigation Branch).

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, Superintending Engineers, I, II, III and V Circles.
,, Accountant-General, Madras.

Endorsement—No. 121 I., dated 6th March 1914.

Forwarded.

Ag. Joint Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.,
(Irrigation Branch).

[Annexure.

Annexure to G.O. Nos. 120–121 I., dated 6th March 1914.

STATEMENT showing surrenders and demands made by Superintending Engineers under the head "35. Construction of Protective Irrigation Works."

Item number.	Division.	Fund head and name of work or system.	Surrenders. Proposed by Superintending Engineers.	Surrenders. Accepted by Government.	Demands. Proposed by Superintending Engineers.	Demands. Sanctioned by Government.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Imperial.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
		IRRIGATION.					
		35. CONSTRUCTION OF PROTECTIVE IRRIGATION WORKS.					
		ACCOUNTS KEPT.					
1	Ganjam ..	Rushikulya system—Fitting a lift shutter to Goomsur anicut.	..	..	2,000	2,000	
2	Do. ..	Constructing a new drop wall and apron below Padma weir.	2,000	2,000	..	..	
3	Do. ..	Improvements to aqueduct at 1 mile 6 furlongs, Mahanadi Canal.	460	460	..	..	
4	Do. ..	Surrender as per telegram from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated 28th February 1914.	1,500	1,500	..	..	
			3,960	3,960	2,000	2,000	
		Establishment	851	851	430	430	
			4,811	4,811	2,430	2,430	
5	Guntur ..	Bhavanasi tank project	3,386	1,886		..	
		Establishment	728	406	..	..	
			4,114	2,292	..	..	
6	Kurnool ..	Venkatapuram project—					
		Works	..	..	5,000	5,000	
		Establishment	..	..	1,075	1,075	
			..	..	6,075	6,075	
		Total, Accounts kept ..	8,925	7,103	8,505	8,505	
		ACCOUNTS NOT KEPT.					
7	Kurnool ..	Works	200	200	..	..	
8	Nellore ..	Works	126	126	..	..	
9		Reserve—Works	..	1,076	..	..	
		Total, Accounts not kept ..	326	1,402	..	..	
		Total "35" ..	9,251	8,505	8,505	8,505	

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
(IRRIGATION BRANCH).

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 120 I., DATED 5TH MARCH 1914.

[SUBJECT:—*Modifications of grants under the head "35".*]

From the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated 3rd February 1914, No. 47 I./Gj.

From the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, dated 6th February 1914, No. 1317.

Under Secretary—

In the papers read above the Superintending Engineers, I and III Circles, propose modifications of grants under the head "35" as shown below :—

	Increase.	Decrease.
	RS.	RS.
Ganjām division	2,000	2,460
Kurnool "	5,000	200
	7,000	2,660
Establishment ...	1,505	529
	8,505	3,189

Net increase or demand = 5,316

The modifications proposed in respect of the Ganjām division can be adjusted without *extra* funds. The net additional grant (viz., 5,316) to be provided is on account of the Venkatapuram Project, Kurnool division, the progress on which is stated to be more satisfactory than anticipated owing to the failure of the north-east monsoon. The reserve under the head now at the disposal of Government (viz., Rs. 1,076) is insufficient to meet the above net demand. It is noticed from the progress report of the Mopad division for December 1913, that the expenditure on the Mopad Project to the end of the month is much below proportion. Although the Executive Engineer has reported that the outlay will become normal when payments are made to Messrs. Jessops and Orenstein & Koppel, the balance of the grant yet to be spent is large. Orders are requested whether the sum of Rs. 5,316 — 1,076 = Rs. 4,240 (or Rs. 4,200) may be resumed from the grant for the Mopad Project.

	Lakhs.
	RS.
Mopad Project—	
Existing grant	1·83
Proportionate outlay	1·38
Actual outlay	1·15

Yes ; but they have to pay for roller, etc.

The amount being very small it is not necessary to consult the Superintending Engineer, V Circle.

T.S.R.—11-2-14.

M.S.V.—11-2-14.

Better consult Superintending Engineer, V Circle.

F. E. MORGAN—11-2-14.

Under Secretary—

A draft memorandum to the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, is submitted for approval.

T.S.R.—11-2-14.

M.S.V.—11-2-14.

F.E.M.—11-2-14.

[Issued as Memorandum No. 732/14-1, dated 12th February 1914.]

From the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated 16*th February* 1914, *No.* 65-*E.I.*
" " " " *dated* 18*th* " " *No.* 70 "

Under Secretary—

The Superintending Engineer, V Circle, states that he cannot spare any money from the grant for the Mopad Project. He surrenders a sum of Rs. 126 from the grant for Nellore division under "35. Not kept". The net additional sum required for Venkatapuram Project now comes to Rs. 4,240—Rs. 126 or Rs. 4,114 or Rs. 3,386 for "works" alone.

2. The grant and outlay to end of January under the Venkatapuram and Mopad Projects are as shown below :—

	Grant.	Outlay.
	RS.	RS.
Venkatapuram	50,000	40,000
Mopad	1,83,439	1,44,286

There is a balance of nearly 40,000 to be spent on Mopad while the balance available for Venkatapuram is only Rs. 10,000. As soon as the small balance of Rs. 10,000 is spent, the Accountant-General will issue no further letter of credit and work on the Venkatapuram Project will be interfered with for want of funds. As regards the Mopad Project it is submitted that although the Superintending Engineer says that no money can be spared from its grant, the diversion of a small sum of Rs. 3,386 therefrom will not materially affect the progress of work on the project. The worst that can happen on account of the above diversion is a corresponding excess over appropriation in the case of Mopad. The excess will be a small proportion of the total grant for the project and can be passed by Government if necessary. Orders are therefore requested whether a sum of Rs. 3,386 may be re-appropriated to Venkatapuram Project from the grant for Mopad.

3. The only other works under "35" are the Rushikulya System and the Bhavanasi Project. The grants * for these are comparatively small and the Executive Engineer's remarks in the progress reports indicate that the amounts will be fully spent during the year.

T.S.R.—19-2-14.

M.S.V.—19-2-14.

F.E.M.—19-2-14.

	Grant.	Outlay.
	RS.	RS.
* Rushikulya	17,650	8,005
Bhavanasi	41,445	32,115

Ask by wire Superintending Engineers, I and II Circles, whether they can spare Rs. 3,386 or any portion of it.

F.E.M.—19-2-14.

Under Secretary—.

A draft telegram to Superintending Engineers, I and II Circles, is submitted for approval.

T.S.R.—19-2-14.

M.S.V.—19-2-14.

F.E.M.—19-2-14.

Telegram from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 20th February 1914.

Under Secretary—

Submitted.

2. The receipt of reply from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, may, it is submitted, be awaited.

T.S.R.—21-2-14.

M.S.V.—21-2-14.

F.E.M.—21-2-14.

Under Secretary—

Reply from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, has not yet been received. A draft telegram reminding him is submitted for approval.

T.S.R.—26-2-14.

M.S.V.—26-2-14.

F.E.M.—26-2-14.

Telegram to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, No. 39-*T, dated 26th February* 1914.

Telegram from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated 28th February 1914.

Under Secretary—

The Superintending Engineer, I Circle, states that he can spare Rs. 1,500 under the head "35". This amount together with Rs. 1,886 from the grant for the Bhavanasi Project or Rs. 3,386 in all may be withdrawn to meet the balance of grant required for the Venkatapuram Project—*vide* page 2 *ante*

If approved a draft order will be put up accordingly.

T.S.R.—2-3-14.

M.S.V.—2-3-14.

Yes.

F.E.M.—2-3-14.

Under Secretary—

A draft order sanctioning the modifications proposed under the head is submitted for approval and orders to issue. The draft need not go to Financial as no single item of surrenders or demands dealt with exceeds Rs. 7,500.

T.S.R.—4-3-14.

M.S.V.—4-3-14.

Is not the name of work for item No. 1 wrong? I did not know falling shutters were being fitted to Gumsur anicut, only one lift shutter.

F.E.M.

Issue telegram and then answer query.

F.E.M.—4-3-14.

Under Secretary—

The telegram has been issued.

2. As regards Under Secretary's marginal remarks above it is submitted, that the name of the work referred to has now been corrected by a reference to the Government Order* in which it was sanctioned. The name as originally entered in the statement attached to the draft order was taken from the Superintending Engineer's application.

T.S.R.—5-3-14.

M.S.V.—5-3-14.

* G.O. No. 185 I., dated 28th March 1913.

Note—The Government Order will not be placed on Editors' Table.

T.S.R.

F.E.M.—5-3-14

[Issued as G.O. No. 120 I., dated 6th March 1914.]

Scott. II-9—24,002—19-4-13.

DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

Current No.	From	Number	Date	Pages.
732/14	SE I	47 Gy	3.2.14	1-2
762/14	SE III	1317	6-2.4	3-4.

(P.T.O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

63/56

928
26/1/14

P. W. D. Form No. 41H.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code,

Vol. II, para. 1876 (h).

1. This form should be used by the Executive Engineer in any of the following cases :—

(a).—When he requires a grant, or an addition to an existing grant, for carrying on a sanctioned major work.

(b).—When he is unable to utilize the grant at his disposal for any work, and wishes to resign it either wholly or in part.

(c).—When he wishes to utilize the grant for one work upon some other.

2. Either of the columns for "Proposed new grant" or for "Proposed reduction," or both, may be used as required.

3. If it happens that the grant already allowed has been reduced, the entry in the column "Existing grant for current year," would of course be the amount less such reduction.

4. In the case of Irrigation works, the entries in the column "Departmental and service head" should show distinctly whether the proposed re-appropriation is debitable to Capital or Revenue, and the entries in the column "Works" should be so arranged as to show the project to the Capital or Revenue account of which the charge is debitable, and the class of service to which the charge is debitable, thus :—

__________PROJECT.

(1).—HEAD WORKS—

(B). Land.

(K). Buildings.

In cases of Productive public works, the fact of their being so should be noted against the entries.

5. It being of the utmost importance that early intimation of possible lapses, or of excesses in outlay on works should be communicated to the Government, a close watch should be maintained on the progress of all works by Executive Officers, and this form employed the moment the facts are sufficiently known.

6. Applications for re-appropriations which require the sanction of the Government of India should be submitted by the local Government in duplicate ; applications by Executive Engineers should be submitted through the Superintending Engineers of their Circles.

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR RE-APPROPRIATION.

a, The outlay to end of 12/13 has exceeded the grant. The grant now applied for is required to cover this outlay and the expenditure to be incurred during the remaining months of the year as the work is to be completed by 31-3-1914.

b, This work has to be kept in abeyance for some time on account of rains. The full grant cannot be spent. The amount now surrendered may be transferred to item (a).

c, The work can only be started in 2/13 when the nallah dries up. So it is not possible to spend the full grant. Hence the surrender

A. W. Robert
24/1/14
Executive Engineer
Ganjam Division

Madras PROVINCE.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION
6 FEB 1914 B.
732/-14

BRANCH— Irrigation

CIRCLE— First

DIVISION— Ganjam

FUND— 35 Irrigation-Capital.

Application for a re-appropriation of Budget grants.

No. _____, dated the __________ 191

Urgent

No. 472/90 dated the 3-2-1914

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for favour of Sanction

J. McLeery
31.1.14
Supdg. Engineer I Circle

29/1/14 29/1/14

2C to note

To [illegible] Subba Rao for papers

P. W. D. Form No. 41H.

Application to the Superintending Engineer I Circle for a re-appropriation of Budget grants.

Proposed new grant.

Departmental and service head.	Item No. of Budget.	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed increase.	Grant as now proposed.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
I Works Deph.	1	Fitting Grand Anicut with falling shutters	13,000	736	6000 / 8,214	6,000	(a) 2,000	8,000

Proposed reduction.

Departmental and service head.	Item No. of Budget.	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed reduction.	Amount at which grant for work will stand after reduction.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
35 I Works Deph.	2	Constructing a new drop wall and apron below Padma weir	10,500	1,002	1,000	8,000	(b) 2,000	6,000
		Improvements to aqueduct at 1 m. 6 f. Mahanady Canal	2,500	.	1211	1211	(c) 460	751

[illegible]

Orders by the Government of ______

No.______ dated the ______ 191 .

Secy. to Government of ______ P. W. Department.

No. 86S dated the 24-1-1914.

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer I Circle.

(Signature) A. W. Robert

Executive Engineer, Ganjam Division

92/22-1-14 39/22-1-14

3

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code,

Vol. II, para. 1876 (h).

1. This form should be used by the Executive Engineer in any of the following cases:—

(a).—When he requires a grant, or an addition to an existing grant, for carrying on a sanctioned major work.

(b).—When he is unable to utilize the grant at his disposal for any work, and wishes to resign it either wholly or in part.

(c).—When he wishes to utilize the grant for one work upon some other.

2. Either of the columns for "Proposed new grant" or for "Proposed reduction," or both, may be used as required.

3. If it happens that the grant already allowed has been reduced, the entry in the column "Existing grant for current year," would of course be the amount less such reduction.

4. In the case of Irrigation works, the entries in the column "Departmental and service head" should show distinctly whether the proposed re-appropriation is debitable to Capital or Revenue, and the entries in the column "Works" should be so arranged as to show the project to the Capital or Revenue account of which the charge is debitable, and the class of service to which the charge is debitable, thus:—

————PROJECT.

(1).—HEAD WORKS—

(B). Land.

(K). Buildings.

In cases of Productive public works, the fact of their being so should be noted against the entries.

5. It being of the utmost importance that early intimation of possible lapses, or of excesses in outlay on works should be communicated to the Government, a close watch should be maintained on the progress of all works by Executive Officers, and this form employed the moment the facts are sufficiently known.

6. Applications for re-appropriations which require the sanction of the Government of India should be submitted by the local Government in duplicate; applications by Executive Engineers should be submitted through the Superintending Engineers of their Circles.

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR RE-APPROPRIATION.

Flag Q

(a) In G. O. No. 411 – I of 16. 7. 13. the surrender of a sum of Rs 9,675 was accepted – this surrender having been proposed on account of the sudden death of the contractor. Subsequently owing to the failure of the N. E. Monsoon the conditions became favourable for carrying out more work than anticipated. As the work is being done more or less departmentally an additional grant of Rs. 5000 is found essential to push the work on without stop. An extract from the Sub-Divisional Officer's letter No. 99/441 of 3.2.14 is herewith.

(b) The surrender proposed is due to less pay anticipated saving on account of [illegible] Ramasetti [illegible] which being [illegible] to [illegible] the sanction [illegible] is [illegible] for [illegible]

G. S. Manuel
3/2/14

Executive Engineer
Kurnool Division

[illegible]
3/2/14

P. W. D. Form No. 41H.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, III CIRCLE, BELLARY
Recd 133R
5 FEB 1914

Madras PROVINCE.

BRANCH— Buildings and Roads.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION
9 FEB 1914
No. 762

CIRCLE— Third

DIVISION— Kurnool

FUND— 35. Protective Irrigation ~~Capital~~

Application for a re-appropriation of Budget grants.

No. ______, *dated the* ______ *191* .

No. 1317, *dated the* 6. 2. 1914.

7

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for favour of sanction

[signature]
5.2.14

Supdg Engineer
III Circle

5.2.14

P. W. D. Form No. 41H.

Application to the Superintending Engineer IIIrd circle for a re-appropriation of Budget grants.

PROPOSED NEW GRANT.

Departmental and service head.	Item No. of Budget.	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed increase.	Grant as now proposed.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
35 Construction of Protective Irrigation works C & R accounts kept. Capital.		Venkatapuram Tank Project works G.O. No. 402 I. of 27.9.09 workslip by Superintending Engineer IIIrd Circle No. 949 of 14.2.1911.	266960 / 276010	198140	57675	50,000	(a) 5,000	55,000

PROPOSED REDUCTION.

Departmental and service head.	Item No. of Budget.	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed reduction.	Amount at which grant for work will stand after reduction.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
35 Construction of Protective Irrigation works C & R accounts not kept		Minor L. S.			1,000	1,000	(a) 200	800.

Orders by the Government of

No. dated the 191 .

Secy. to Government of P. W. Department.

No. 145/441 dated the 3rd February 1914.

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, IIIrd circle, Bellary.

(Signature) [signature] 3/2/14

Executive Engineer, [illegible] Division

5

Copy of letter No. 99/441 d/. 3rd February 1914, from M.R.Ry., M.R. Natesa Aiyar Avergal, Sub Divisional Officer in charge of Head Quarters Sub Division, Kurnool to the Executive Engineer, Kurnool Division.

Grants.

I have the honour to report that the works in Venkatapuram Tank Project are progressing more satisfactorily than anticipated and so the work will be short of funds with the existing grant in the months of February and March 1914. As it is a departmental work, the payments in March cannot be suspended and being the best working months of the year, I request that you will be pleased to place an additional grant of Rs 5000, thus ~~being~~ bringing the total grant under this head to Rs 55,000.

B/3.2.14.

Urgent

Remind after a week.

Sd/12/2/14

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No 732/14-1 dy 12-2-14

Memo.

The S.E., V Circle, is requested to report if he can spare Rs 4200 (inclusive of Establt. charges) from the current year's grant for the Ropar Project. The amount is urgently required for expenditure elsewhere.

[illegible] 11.2.14

[illegible] 11/2/14

[illegible] 11.2.14

DESPATCHED
Date 12-2-14
Enclos. –

To
The S.E., V Circle.

To Typist [illegible] 12-2-14

18 7

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Copy of telegram dated 15th February 1914, from the Executive Engineer, Mopad Project Division to the Supg. Engineer, V Circle, Madras.

- :--

C732/14
CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.
16 FEB 1914
No. 974/14

Your wire thrteenth | all funds required | no surrender possible - (. Executive Engineer Mopad.)

VERY URGENT.

No. 65-E9 dated 16th Feb. 1914.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for information with reference to Chief Engineer's (Irrigation) Memo. No. 732/14-1, dated 12th February 1914.

P 6 of C f

16-2-14

Supg. Engineer, V Circle.

16.2.14

Urgent 8

P. W. D. Form No. 41H.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code,

Vol. II, para. 1876 (h).

1. This form should be used by the Executive Engineer in any of the following cases :—

(a).—When he requires a grant, or an addition to an existing grant, for carrying on a sanctioned major work.

(b).—When he is unable to utilize the grant at his disposal for any work, and wishes to resign it either wholly or in part.

(c).—When he wishes to utilize the grant for one work upon some other.

2. Either of the columns for "Proposed new grant" or for "Proposed reduction," or both, may be used as required.

3. If it happens that the grant already allowed has been reduced, the entry in the column "Existing grant for current year," would of course be the amount less such reduction.

4. In the case of Irrigation works, the entries in the column "Departmental and service head" should show distinctly whether the proposed re-appropriation is debitable to Capital or Revenue, and the entries in the column "Works" should be so arranged as to show the project to the Capital or Revenue account of which the charge is debitable, and the class of service to which the charge is debitable, thus :—

__________ PROJECT.

1).—Head works—

(B). Land.

(K). Buildings.

In cases of Productive public works, the fact of their being so should be noted against the entries.

5. It being of the utmost importance that early intimation of possible lapses, or of excesses in outlay on works should be communicated to the Government, a close watch should be maintained on the progress of all works by Executive Officers, and this form employed the moment the facts are sufficiently known.

6. Applications for re-appropriations which require the sanction of the Government of India should be submitted by the local Government in duplicate ; applications by Executive Engineers should be submitted through the Superintending Engineers of their Circles.

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR RE-APPROPRIATION.

The services of gangers in the Kandukur Sub Division have been dispensed with for this Official Year and the balance of grant is not required. Hence this surrender was made.

Dennison 18.2.14
Supdg Engineer
V Circle

Madras PROVINCE.

BRANCH— Irrigation

CIRCLE— Fifth

DIVISION— Nellore

FUND— 38: Protective Irrigation works not Kept

Application for a re-appropriation of Budget grants. for 1913—14

No. ______, *dated the* __________ 191 .

No. ______, *dated the* __________ 191 .

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION. B. 18 FEB 1914 1023/14

B2/17.2.1914

Gulab Singh & Sons, Calcutta—No. 53 P. W. D.—10-7-12—20,000.

P. W. D. Form No. 41 H.

9

Application to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for a re-appropriation of Budget grants. for 1913-14

PROPOSED NEW GRANT.

Departmental and service head.	Item No. of Budget.	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed increase.	Grant as now proposed.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.

PROPOSED REDUCTION.

Departmental and service head.	Item No. ~~of Budget.~~	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed reduction.	Amount at which grant for work will stand after reduction.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		Not Kept.						
35. Protective Irrigation works	1	Minor Original works	L.S.	-	670	670	126	544

Orders by the Government of

No. 70 dated the 18th February 1914.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation

(Signature) [signature] 18.2.14

17/2/1914. Supdg Engineer [illegible] Circle

No. dated the 191

Secy. to Government of
P. W. Department.

10 33 ✓
19.2.14

Telegram State
Ordinary

To

The SE I/II Circle

33T. Please wire if you can spare Rs 3,386 or any portion of it from the grant under "35" for Rushikulya System / Bhavanasi Project

[signature] 19.2.14 [signature] 19/2/14

[signature] 19-2-14

Telegram & post Copy.

DESPATCHED
Date 19-2-14
Enclos. —

C. INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. 31

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

B8 20 FEB 1914 1082/14

Charges to pay.		Office Stamp.
Rs.	As.	TRIPLICANE 20-FE 14

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
Buckingham Pm	20	12	50	2 [illegible]	27 26

Recd. here at 13 H. 56 M.

TO

Madras Navigation

MS T p10 [illegible]

Your telegram 33 T nineteenth

Last case spare this

amount if absolutely

necessary by making payments

in April = [illegible]

N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

5

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION
B 24 FEB 1914
No. 1121/14

P. W. D. Form No. 148.

Madras PROVINCE.

Irrigation BRANCH.

Second Circle DIVISION.

Dated 20 February 1914

NAME OF RETURN Final application for additional funds or surrenders under '35 - Bhavani Tank Project

PERIOD for 1913-14

NIL.

Signature and Designation of Officer. { [illegible] Supdg. Engineer. II Circle.

14.2.14

To

THE Chief Engineer for Irrigation Madras.

13

2.000—5—13.

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION
B. 25 FEB 1914
No. 1149/14

Superintending Engineer's Office,
II Circle,

Bezwada, 24 February 1914.

No. 7249

ENDORSEMENT.

By Mr. C. Mildred,

Enclosures.

Superintending Engineer, II Circle

Telegram dated 20-2-1914, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, Madras:-

Your telegram 33-T. 19th Instant. Can spare this amount if absolutely necessary by making payments in April.

****

Copy by post in confirmation.

A. Moseley
20/2/14

For Supg. Engineer, II Circle.

DL 20/2/14

14

Telegram. State / Ordinary

To

The Supdg Engr, First Circle

39.T. Please expedite reply to this Office telegram number 33-T dated 19th instant enquiring if Rs 3386 or any portion of it can be spared from the existing grant for Rushikulya under "35".

Typist Despatch
Please issue the telegram

26-2-14

M10 C.F.

Telegram & Post Copy

DESPATCHED
Date 26-2-14
Enclos. —

26-2-14

26-2-14

Recd 5.20 PM

C. O OK INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

28 FEB 1914

Charges to pay. Rs.	As.	Office Stamp.
		TRIPLICANE 28 FE 14

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
Vizagapatam	28	15	50	State	26

Recd. here at 16 H. 11 M.

TO

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION B. 2 MAR 1914 No. 1211/14

Madras works, Madras T

332

Your telegram 78 T 19 february can spare rupees fifteen hundred from 35 R irrigation formal application follows = S E =

N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

No. 271 W/91

P. W. D.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
I CIRCLE, VIZAGAPATAM,

Dated 28. 2. / 1-3 1914.

Post Copy of Telegram.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT -3 MAR 1914 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

B ENGINEER IRRIGATION 3 MAR 1914 1276/14

Telegram State ordinary dated 28. 2. 14. from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Your telegram 38/J 33 19 February Can spare Rupees fifteen hundred from 35 R. Irrigation formal application follows.

Copy by post in confirmation.

(By Order.)

J. Mylasoo... *Manager,*

Office of the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

8 2/3/14

Scott. II—10 (a)—7,000—4-13.

Urgent

DEPARTMENT.

Modifications of grants under the head "35" sanctioning certain — & ordering that a copy of the G.O. be forwarded to the G.I. for information.

Cross References
Funds.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ...., dated .... 191 .

" " " " ...., " .... 191 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 732/14 From the S.E. I Circle, No. 47/G1 dated 3 . 2 . 1914.
To do III Circle No 1317 dt 6/7 2 . 1914
To do V Circle No 732/14-1 dt 12 . 2 . 14
From do V Circle No 65 S.D. dt 16 . 2 . 14
From do V Circle No 705 D dt 18 . 2 . 14
To S.Es I & II Circles dt 19 . 2 . 14 (Telegram)
From S.E. II Circle dt 20 . 2 . 14 (Telegram)
From S.E. II Circle dt 20 . 2 . 14 (Nil return of
To S.E. I Circle dt 26.2.14 Telegram [modifications under 35]
From do dt 28 . 2 . 14 (Telegram)

ORDER. No. 120 J, dated 6 . 3 . 1914.

Press

Print Notes

The modifications of grants under the head "35 Construction of Protective Irrigation Works" as shown in the appended statement are sanctioned.

2. The following telegram will be sent to the Supdg Engr., III Circle:—

46/T "Your number 1317 dated sixth ultimo. Additional grant rupees five thousand sanctioned

Telegram & post copy

DESPATCHED
Date 4-3-14
Enclos. ... no

2/ 30

for Venkatapuram Project under "35". Order follows."

3. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the G.O.I. for information.

Endt No 121 I dt 6.3.14.

Forwarded.

Issue.

[signature] 4.3.14

K [initials] 4/3/14

[initials] 4.3.14

D.I.

rcd 8/4/14
D 7/3/14

To
The Chief Engr: for Irrigation MS
" Supdg Engrs: I, II, III & IV Circles MS
" A.G. Madras MS

Not E.T.

The order with the G.O. should issue in M/S

[illegible] Despatched [illegible]
[illegible]
5-3-14

3

Statement showing surrenders and demands made by Superintending Engineers under the head "35. Construction of Protective Irrigation works"

Omitted in C.O. no. 120-121 I. P. 5-3-14 9/4/14

Item No.	Division.	Fund head and name of work or system.	Surrenders. Proposed by Superintending Engineers.	Surrenders. Accepted by Government.	Demands. Proposed by Superintending Engineers.	Demands. Sanctioned by Government.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
		Imperial Irrigation 35. Construction of Protective Irrgn works. Accounts kept.					
1.	Ganjam	Rushikulya System Fitting Gurnsur anicut with a lift shutters ~~with falling shutters~~	"	"	2,000	2000	pp 142 of
2.	Do	Constg: a new drop wall and apron below Padma weir	2,000	2,000	"	"	pp 142 of
3.	Do	Impts: to aqueduct at 1m 67, Mahanadi Canal	460	460	"	"	pp 142 of
4.	Do	Surrender as per S.E.'s telegram of 28-2-14	1,500	1500	"	"	p 150 of
			3,960	3,960	2,000	2,000	
		Establt.	851	851	430	430	
			4,811	4,811	2,430	2,430	
5.	Guntur	Bhavanasi tank project	3,386	1,886	"	"	p 110 of
		Establ.	728	406	"	"	
			4,114	2292	"	"	

Statement showing surrenders ~~and~~ demands made by Superintending Engineers under the head

Item No.	Division.	Fund head and name of work or system.	Surrenders.		Demands.		Remarks.
			Proposed by Superintending Engineers.	Accepted by Government.	Proposed by Superintending Engineers.	Sanctioned by Government.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
6.	Kurnool.	Venketapuram project					
		Works	-	-	5,000	5,000	pp 3+4 of C.f
		Establt	-	-	1075	1075	
			-	-	6075	6075	
		Total accts: kept	8925	7,103	8505	8,505	
		Accts: not kept					
7.	Kurnool	Works	200	200	-	-	pp [illegible] of C.f
8.	Nellore	-Do-	126	126	-	-	pp 8+9 of C.f
9.		Reserve-Works	-	1076	-	-	
		Total accts: not kept	326	1402	-	-	
		Total "35"	9,251	8,505	8505	8,505	

[signature] 4.3.14

[signature] 4.3.14.

13